

SALDEN CHASE
OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION

ES Volume 1 Text
Contents

**SALDEN CHASE,
NORTH EAST AYLESBURY VALE**

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

April 2010

**Prepared by David Lock Associates
in partnership with**

**Aspect Ecology Ltd
Brookbanks Consulting Engineering Ltd
CgMs Ltd
DLP Consulting Group Ltd
Faulks Perry Culley and Rech Ltd
Fulcrum First Consulting
Peter Brett Associates
King Sturge
Kernon Countryside Consultants**

CONTENTS

PART 1: ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

2.0	APPLICATION SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION	20
	The Application Site Context.....	20
	The Salden Chase Site	21
	Outline of the Development Proposal	22
3.0	POLICY CONTEXT AND ALTERNATIVES	27
	Background Studies	27
	Milton Keynes & South Midlands Sub-Regional Strategy.....	28
	RSS9 the South East Plan	29
	North East Aylesbury Vale SDA.....	30
	Summary.....	33
4.0	APPROACH TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	34
5.0	ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	38
	Introduction.....	38
	Scope and Method of Assessment	38
	Policy, Guidelines and Conventions.....	40
	Existing Baseline Conditions.....	43
	Potential Significant Effects and Mitigation	56
	Residual Significant Effects.....	64
	Conclusions.....	65
6.0	AGRICULTURAL LAND.....	67
	Introduction.....	67
	Policy Context	67
	Scope and Method of Assessment	69
	Existing Baseline Conditions.....	74
	Potential Impacts.....	83
	Residual Effects	88
7.0	ECOLOGY.....	89
	Proposals	90
	Survey and Assessment Methodology	91
	Habitats and Ecological Features	103
	Faunal Use of the Environmental Impact Assessment Study Area	128
	Ecological Evaluation.....	146
	Ecological Impacts, Mitigation and Enhancement Opportunities	184
	Impacts on Fauna within the Environmental Impact Assessment Study Area	212
	Planning Policy Context.....	245
	Summary and Conclusions.....	251
8.0	HYDROLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY.....	254
	Introduction	254
	Methodology.....	254

Baseline Conditions.....	256
Development Proposals	259
Potential Effects	260
Assessment and Mitigation of Effects	262
Summary of Effects	271
9.0 LANDSCAPE & VISUAL	273
Introduction	273
Scope and Method of Assessment	273
Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria	275
The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of the Proposed Development has been conducted encompassing the “Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment” (GLVIA) published by the Landscape Institute and the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment 2002 and “Landscape Character Assessment Guidance for England and Scotland” (LCA) published by the Countryside Agency and Scottish National Heritage 2002	275
The interaction of urban fabric, vegetation and topography determines the potential for views to the Site and the Zone of Visual Influence (ZVI) for the Proposed Development. The Study Area was initially assessed from OS data and a Zone of theoretical visibility and confirmed following site visits (undertaken between Winter 2008 and Summer 2009). A series of key viewpoints have been selected to represent the varying degrees of site visibility and the potential effect on receptors. These seek to explore the maximum potential views available, thus defining the Study Area for the assessment	276
The criteria adopted for the assessment of the impacts of the Proposed Development on landscape features are set out in Tables 9.1 and 9.2 below. It should be noted that a degree of flexibility is required depending upon the nature and context of a particular character area	276
Overall, the potential impacts on landscape features are determined by correlating the sensitivity of the landscape resource with the magnitude of landscape change. Professional judgement is used to determine the overall significance of impact based on these two elements. Overall impact significance is classified by major, moderate, minor or negligible and the effects can be negative or positive.....	277
The criteria adopted for the assessment of the potential visual impacts from the Proposed Development are set out in Tables 9.3 and 9.4 below. As stated above, flexibility is required when assessing the sensitivity of receptors depending upon their nature and context.	278
Overall, the potential visual impact from the Proposed Development is determined by correlating the sensitivity of the receptor with the magnitude of visual change. Professional judgement is used to determine the overall significance of impact based on these two elements. Overall Impact significance is classified as major, moderate, minor or negligible, and the effects can be negative or positive.....	279
9.15 Planning policy at the national, regional, county and local level is discussed in the Planning Statement that accompanies this document in the formal submission. The following documents are relevant to this Chapter:.....	280

	The Government is committed to protecting and enhancing the quality of the natural and historic environment, and requires a high level of protection for the most valued landscapes. It recognises that the condition of our surroundings has a direct impact on the quality of life and that the conservation and improvement of the natural and built environment brings social and economic benefit for local communities. It advises that development plan policies and planning decisions should be based on up-to-date information on the environmental characteristics of the area.....	280
	South East Regional Plan	280
	The most relevant policies are:	280
9.17	Policy CC6: Sustainable Communities and Character of the Environment	280
	This policy states that actions and decisions associated with development of land will promote the creation of distinctive communities that respect and where appropriate enhance the character and distinctiveness of settlements and landscapes through the region.....	280
	Policy CC8: Green Infrastructure	280
9.18	This policy states that local authorities and partners will work together to plan, provide and manage connected and substantial networks of accessible multi-functional green space. Networks should be planned to include both existing and new green infrastructure. They need to be planned and managed to deliver the widest range of linked environmental and social benefits including conserving and enhancing biodiversity as well as landscape, recreation, water management, social and cultural benefits to underpin individual and community health and 'well being'. They will be created and managed as a framework of green spaces and other natural features that will boost the sustainable development of settlements and increase the environmental capacity of the locality and region as a whole, helping communities to be more resilient to the effects of climate change.....	281
10.0	TRAFFIC, MOVEMENT AND ACCESS.....	324
	Introduction.....	324
	Scope and Method of Assessment	324
	Statutory Framework, Standards and Guidance Documents	325
	Assumptions and Technical Deficiencies.....	342
	Assessment Methodology	343
	Significance Criteria	345
	Existing Baseline Conditions.....	348
	Impact Assessment and Mitigation	363
	Conclusions.....	406
11.0	AIR QUALITY	408
	Introduction	408
	Scope and Method of Assessment	408
	Baseline Air Quality.....	409
	Predicted Baseline Concentrations	411
	Impact Assessment Criteria	416
	Legislation and Policy	420
	Existing Baseline Conditions.....	427
	Potential Effects	431
	Mitigation Measures	439
	Residual Effects	442
	Conclusions	443

12.0	NOISE AND VIBRATION	445
	Introduction.....	445
	Scope	445
	Planning Policy Guidance	446
	Methodology.....	454
	Baseline Conditions.....	461
	Likely Significant Effects - Construction.....	463
	Likely Significant Effects - Vibration.....	466
	Likely Significant Effects – Operational Noise	466
	Mitigation.....	473
	Residual Effects	477
	Cumulative Effects	478
	References.....	478
13.0	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ISSUES	481
	Introduction.....	481
	Scope and Method of Assessment	483
	Baseline Conditions.....	486
	Assessment of Existing Social Infrastructure	491
	Potential Impacts.....	494
	Operational stage of wider regeneration impacts	505
	Mitigation.....	509
	Residual Impacts.....	510
	Conclusions.....	510
14.0	SERVICES AND UTILITIES.....	512
	Introduction.....	512
	Methodology.....	512
	Baseline Conditions.....	514
	Development Proposals	515
	Potential Effects Service Supply	519
	Assessment and Mitigation of Effects	519
	Summary of Service Supply Effects.....	522
15.0	SIGNIFICANT INTERACTIVE AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	523
	Interactive and Cumulative Effects.....	523
	Interactive Effects.....	523
	Significant Cumulative Effects.....	523
	<i>During Construction</i>	534

PART 2: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT: FIGURES (Volume 5A)

Contents

Chapter 2: Application Site

Figure 2.1: Study Area Context Plan (SWMK01/050)

Figure 2.2: The Environmental Impact Assessment Study Area (SWMK01/048 Rev C:)

Figure 2.3: Development Framework Plan (SWMK01/064 Rev F)

Figure 2.4: Salden Chase Application Site Plan (SWMK01/037 Rev G:)

Figure 2.5: Parameter Plans;

Parameter Plan SWMK01/PP/02 Rev B - Primary Movement Network;

Parameter Plan SWMK01/PP/03 Rev B - Public Transport Movement Network;

Parameter Plan SWMK01/PP/04 Rev B - Dedicated Pedestrian and Cycle Routes;

Parameter Plan SWMK01/PP/05 Rev B - Density;

Parameter Plan SWMK01/PP/06 Rev B - Building Heights;

Parameter Plan SWMK01/PP/07 Rev A- Open Space Provision; and

Parameter Plan SWMK01/PP/08 Rev A- Landscape Framework.

Chapter 5: Archaeology and Cultural Heritage

Figure 5.1: Sites mentioned in ES Text

Figure 5.2: Plan of Demesne of Salden 1599

Figure 5.3: Bryant's Map of 1825

Figure 5.4: 1:10,000 Map of 1885

Figure 5.5: 1:10,000 Map of 1977

Chapter 6: Agricultural Land

Figure 6.1: ALC for Study Area

Figure 6.2: Land Occupation Plan

Chapter 7: Ecology

Figure 7.1: Location of EIA Study Area

Figure 7.2: Ecological Designations and Protected Species Records

Figure 7.3: Ecological Features and Photograph Locations

Figure 7.4: Distribution of Hedgerows

Figure 7.5: Location of Trees to support Roosting Bats

Figure 7.6: Results of Breeding Bird Survey 2008

Figure 7.7: Results of Great Crested Newt Survey 2006, 2007, 2008

Figure 7.8: Location of Reptile Refugia and Reptiles 2008

Figure 7.9: Framework Plan of Ecological Mitigation and Enhancements

Figure 7.10: Photographs of Site Features

Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual

Figure 9.1: Location Plan

Figure 9.2: Topography Plan

Figure 9.3: Woodland and Tree Cover

Figure 9.4: Footpaths
Figure 9.5: Local Character Areas
Figure 9.6 and 9.7: Character Photographs
Figure 9.8- 9.19 Photo View Points
Figure 9.20: GI Plan
Figure 9.21-9.25 Sections
Figure 9.26: Photomontages

Chapter 10: Traffic Movement and Access

Figure 10.1: Site Location Plan- Wider Context
Figure 10.2: Site Location Plan- Local Context
Figure 10.3: Public Rights of Way- Wider Context
Figure 10.4: Existing Pedestrian and Cycle Routes
Figure 10.5: Existing Redway Network
Figure 10.6: Existing Bus Routes
Figure 10.7: Link Identification Plan

Chapter 11: Air Quality

Figure 11.1: Location of PBA and MKC Air Quality Monitors and Bletchley Landfill
Figure 11.2: 10 Year Windrose from Luton Airport
Figure 11.3 Receptor Locations

Chapter 12: Noise

Figure 12.1: Noise Survey Locations
Figure 12.2: 2008 Baseline Noise Contours Daytime
Figure 12.3: 2008 Baseline Noise Contours Night-time
Figure 12.4: 2026 with Development Noise Contours Daytime
Figure 12.5: 2026 with Development Noise Contours Night time
Figure 12.6: Noise Receptor Locations

Chapter 14: Services and Utilities

Figure 14.1: Illustrative Existing Services Layout Reference: 1285/SU/01

PART 3 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT: APPENDICES (Volume 5B)

Chapter 5: Archaeology and Cultural Heritage

Appendix 5.1: Archaeological Desk Based Assessment Jan 2008 (CgMs)

Appendix 5.2: Geophysical Survey Salden Chase Milton Keynes Nov 2008 (Stratascan)
(Available on request)

Appendix 5.3: Historic Buildings Appraisal of Lower Salden Farm and Chase Farm (2009)
(CgMs)

Chapter 6: Agricultural Land

Appendix 6.1: ALC Survey of Part of Study Area (1998) (FRCA).

Appendix 6.2: ALC Survey of Additional Land and Part of Study Area (2008)(KCC)

Chapter 7: Ecology

Appendix 7.1: Information from Buckinghamshire and Milton Keynes Ecological Records
Centre

Appendix 7.2: Badger Records (Confidential) (Not Included)

Appendix 7.3: Information received from North Bucks Bat Group

Appendix 7.4: Information received from Bucks Bird Club

Appendix 7.5: Information from Environment Agency

Appendix 7.6: Information from MAGIC and NE "Nature on the Map"

Appendix 7.7: Data obtained from NBN Gateway

Appendix 7.8: Information from AVDC

Appendix 7.9: Hedgerow data

Appendix 7.10: Badger Sett Definitions

Appendix 7.11 Bat Survey Results

Appendix 7.12: Bird Survey Results

Appendix 7.13: Newt Survey Results

Appendix 7.14: Reptile Survey

Chapter 9: Landscape and Visual

Appendix 9.1: Green Infrastructure Study

Chapter 11: Air Quality

Appendix 11.1: NO2 Monitoring Locations

Appendix 11.2: Diffusion Tube Monitoring Results

Appendix 11.3: Bias Adjustment Calculation

Appendix 11.4: Method for Calculating the NOX Verification Factor

Appendix 11.5: Background Connections used in this Assessment

Appendix 11.6: Traffic Data used in this Assessment

Chapter 12: Noise

Appendix 12B: Full Significance Criteria

Appendix 12C: Traffic Information used in Noise Model

THE PROJECT TEAM

Applicants

Bellcross Homes Limited

Connolly Homes Plc

Hallam Land Management Limited

Taylor Wimpey PLC

William Davis Limited

Barwood Development Securities Ltd

Barwood LaSalle LLP

Master Planning and Coordination of the Environmental Statement

David Lock Associates
50 North Thirteenth Street
Central Milton Keynes
MK9 3BP

Agricultural Classification

**Kernon Countryside
& Management
Consultants**
Brook Cottage
Purton Stoke
Swindon
SN5 4JE

Air Quality

Peter Brett Associates

11 Prospect Court
Courteenhall Road
Blisworth
Northamptonshire
NN7 3DG

Archaeology and Cultural Heritage

CgMs

Morley House
26 Holborn Viaduct
London
EC1A 2AT

Drainage and Flood Risk Assessment

Brookbanks Consulting Ltd

111 Hagley Road
Birmingham
B16 8LB

Ecology

Aspect Ecology

Lower Sweet, West Court
Hardwick Business Park
Noral Way
Banbury
OX16 2AF

Landscape and Visual

Faulks Perry Culley and Rech Ltd

Lockington Hall
Lockington
Derby
DE74 2RH

Noise

Peter Brett Associates

As above

Planning Policy

DLP Consulting Group

DLP House
4 Abbey Court
Fraser Road
Priory Business Park
Bedford
MK44 3WH

Services and Utilities

Brookbanks Consulting Ltd

As above

Socio – Economic Issues

David Lock Associates

50 North Thirteenth Street
Central Milton Keynes
Buckinghamshire
MK9 3BP

with Commercial Property Advice

King Sturge

1 Cornwall Street
Birmingham
B3 2DX

Traffic, Movement and Access

Peter Brett Associates

As above

Energy Prospectus

Fulcrum First Consulting

62-68 Rosebery Avenue
London
EC1R 4RR

ABBREVIATIONS

AAI	Area of Archaeological Importance
ALC	Agricultural Land Classification
AOD	Above Ordinance Datum
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area
AV	Aylesbury Vale
AVA	Aylesbury Vale Advantage
AVDC	Aylesbury Vale District Council
AW	Anglian Water
BAP	Biodiversity Action Plan
BCC	Buckinghamshire County Council
BNS	Biological Notification Site
BPA	British Pipeline Agency
BRE	Building Research Establishment
BSBI	Botanical Society for the British Isles
BT	British Telecom
BTO	British Trust of Ornithology
CABE	Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment
CBD	Convention of Biological Diversity
CRN	Calculation of Railway Noise
CRoW	Countryside Right of Way Act, 2000
CWS	County Wildlife Site
DEFRA	Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
DETR	Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions (currently the ODPM)
DLA	David Lock Associates Limited
DLP	DLP Consulting Ltd
DMRB	Design Manual for Roads and Bridges

DPD	Development Plan Documents
EA	Environment Agency
EC	European Commission
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EPS	European Protected Species
ES	Environment Statement
FBT	Farm Business Tenancy
FPRC	Faulks Perry Culley and Rechs Ltd
FRCA	Farming and Rural Conservation Agency
Ha	Hectares
HCA	Homes and Community Agency
IDB	Internal Drainage Board
KCC	Kernon Countryside Consultants
$L_{Aeq,T}$	Equivalent noise level: the A-weighted steady sound pressure level that would contain the same amount of acoustical energy as the actual, fluctuating sound measured over a period, T.
L_{A10}	The A-weighted sound pressure level exceeded for 10% of the time. It is used to describe road traffic noise
L_{A90}	The A-weighted sound pressure level exceeded for 90% of the time. It is used to describe the background noise level
L_{AFmax}	The maximum A-weighted sound pressure level recorded over the measurement period. The F denotes a fast time-weighting has been used
LDDs	Local Development Document
LDF	Local Development Framework
LEA	Local Education Authority
LPA	Local Planning Authority
LTP	Local Transport Plan
LWS	Local Wildlife Site

MAFF	Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries
MK	Milton Keynes
MKC	Milton Keynes Council
MKP	Milton Keynes Partnership
MKSM	Milton Keynes & South Midlands
MKTC	Milton Keynes Traffic Model
NEC	Noise Exposure Category, as set out in Planning Policy Guidance 24 <i>"Planning and Noise"</i>
NTS	Non Technical Summary
ODPM	Office of the Deputy Prime Minister
PPG	Planning Policy Guidance
PPS	Planning Policy Statement
PPM	Parts Per Million
PRoW	Public Right of Way
RDB	Red Data Book
RPG	Regional Planning Guidance
RS	Regional Strategy
RES	Regional Economic Strategy
RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
RSS	Regional Spatial Strategy
SA	Sustainability Appraisal
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SAM	Scheduled Ancient Monument

SAMS	Scheduled Ancient Monument Sites
SCI	Statement of Community Involvement
SDA	Strategic Development Area
SEP	South East Plan, May 2009
SI	Statutory Instrument
SNCI	Site of Nature Conservation Interest
SMR	Scheduled Monument Record
SPD	Supplementary Planning Document
SRS	Sub-Regional Strategy
SSSI	Site of Special Scientific Interest
SUDS	Sustainable Urban Drainage System
SWMK	South West Milton Keynes
TA	Transport Assessment
VDV	Vibration Dose Value a measure of assessing the human exposure to vibration
WDF	Water Framework Directive